



Texas Agricultural
Extension Service

The Texas A&M
University System

Analyzing Replacement Stock Alternatives

Lawrence Falconer, James McGrann, John Parker and Rodney Jones*

The economic rules used to determine whether to keep or replace a cow or any other breeding animal are pretty straightforward. Replacement management strategy involves comparing the values of breeding stock you currently own with the value of potential replacements, and then choosing the investment with the highest expected worth. However, calculating those values can be complicated, and it is of critical importance to livestock producers. When you make decisions on breeding stock replacement, you are actually making investment decisions that will have to be lived with for some time.

How do you decide what a cow is worth? The answer is not always as simple as what you have to pay for a cow of similar age and quality at your local auction barn. In fact, a cow is just like a machine in a factory in that she has both a productive value and a salvage value. She is really worth the sum of all the cash she can earn over her lifetime, including her salvage value as a cull cow, less all the expenses she generates. As you would expect, the net cash flows the cow produces over her lifetime depend not only on her ability to produce calves, but also on the future prices of calves, the ranch's cost structure, and the eventual salvage value of the cow. The value of the cow is determined not just by the amount of cash she generates but also, because money has earning power of its own, by the timing of that income and her expenses.

Capital budgeting, or investment analysis, is used to evaluate the effects of investment decisions on the ranch's profitability, risk, and liquidity position. Since breeding stock are capital items that do not last forever, the breeding stock replacement decision is a good application for investment analysis. Investment analysis is a process in which investment alternatives are identified and compared using an appropriate investment analysis method. After selecting the desired investment analysis method, relevant data is collected, analyzed and interpreted (Barry, et al.). The remainder of this publication discusses the application of capital budgeting to the replacement decision for breeding stock.

Investment Alternatives

Because the portfolio of alternative investments varies from producer to producer, the ability to consolidate large quantities of information and to make well-founded investment decisions is important. For many cow-calf producers, the replacement decision involves several alternatives. For example, the producer could sell a cow currently in the herd and replace that cow with a purchased or a raised replacement. Replacements of various ages may be available for purchase, each with a different "useful life." The producer could also sell the cow and not reinvest in another breeding animal, placing the proceeds in an alternative investment or enterprise. After carrying out the investment analysis, the producer could decide to keep the current cow in the herd and



*Assistant Professor and Extension Economist, Professor and Extension Economist, and Research Associate, The Texas A&M University System; and Extension Agricultural Economist, Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service.

re-evaluate at a later date. In general, an alternative investment opportunity could be the purchase of any cost-reducing or income-increasing asset.

Investment Analysis Methods

There are several methods of capital budgeting that are commonly used. The investment analysis methods discussed in this publication and incorporated in the associated decision aid include (1) payback period, (2) net present value, and (3) internal rate of return. An extension of the net present value method, called the maximum feasible bid price, also will be discussed. These methods are designed to help the decision maker organize relevant data used to accept or reject alternative investment opportunities.

Payback period is a simple investment analysis technique. Payback period analysis estimates the number of planning periods (usually months or years) that are required for an investment to pay for itself. To use the payback period method in our breeding stock decision, we need to know the initial cost of the breeding animal, and the projected cash flows and net of all costs the animal generates, by period. The payback period is calculated by finding the period in which the accumulated net cash flows equal the initial investment in the breeding animal. An investment alternative (breeding animal) with the shortest payback period is preferred.

Net present value is a slightly more complicated investment analysis technique, but it is superior to the payback period method. The net present value gives a truer picture of the profitability of a potential investment by explicitly considering the size and timing of all cash flows associated with the proposed investment, and the opportunity cost of capital. The data needed to carry out the net present value analysis is the initial investment, the net cash flows generated by the breeding animal, the salvage value of the animal, the discount rate (opportunity cost) for future cash flows, and the length of the planning horizon. The net present value is calculated by subtracting the initial investment from the sum of the discounted cash flows. The investment with the largest net present value is preferred, and if that investment has a positive net present value, it is accepted or undertaken.

Internal rate of return analysis is closely related to the net present value and requires the same data, with the exception of the discount rate. The internal rate of return analysis calculates the discount rate that equates the initial investment with the sum of the discounted cash flows. The investment alternative with the highest internal rate of return is preferred, and if

that investment has a higher internal rate of return than the decision maker's required rate of return, it is accepted.

Maximum feasible bid price analysis is also closely related to the net present value analysis and requires the same data, with the exception of the initial investment value. The maximum feasible bid price analysis calculates the initial investment value that equates the net present value to zero given the decision maker's required discount rate or opportunity cost of capital. The maximum feasible bid price provides a benchmark to compare with current market prices. If current market prices are below the calculated maximum feasible bid price, then purchase of replacement animals would be in order. If current market prices are above the calculated maximum feasible bid price, purchases of replacement animals would be deferred.

Interpretation of Results

Correct interpretation of investment analyses relating to replacement stock investment is critically related to proper management of the replacement process. An example of output from a computerized decision support aid can be seen in Table 1, and will be used to illustrate some of the important factors in the valuation of breeding stock.

The output shown in Table 1 is built around the investment opportunity of paying \$750 for a breeding cow and calf, when the cow has the potential to calve six more times. (Note that in this example the cow fails to wean a calf in year four.) All numbers shown in Table 1 that are surrounded by a box are required input data. Data about the cow's productivity, as indicated by weaning weights of calves, is required. The cow's salvage value and projected calf market prices are also needed. The desired discount rate, which should be the decision maker's required return on equity capital, is also required input data.

The investment analysis output shown in Table 1 employs a return to equity approach. This approach generates cash flows that include financing considerations to account for the impact of interest and debt repayment on cash flows. Tax considerations related to the potential investment are also taken into account. Since financing and tax consequences are considered, the decision support aid output also can address financial feasibility issues.

The information contained in the Investment Analysis Decision Aid Output can be used to illustrate the results of each of the previously

mentioned investment analysis methods. For example, the payback period is calculated using the projected net income above operating costs, along with the projected tax consequences resulting from the investment. In year one the investment is expected to result in \$132.00 net return above operating costs, and result in an additional \$0.84 in income tax savings as a result of depreciation, for a net positive projected cash flow of \$132.84. Adding the projected net cash flows from subsequent years reveals that the initial investment of \$750.00 is not fully returned until year seven, when the after tax salvage value of the cow can be included as a positive net cash flow. If a decision maker required that the proposed replacement pay out in less than 7 years, this investment would be rejected.

The net present value results are based on the projected cash flows shown at the bottom of Table 1. In this case the initial investment is broken down into two components, the negative \$150.00 equity requirement in year zero, and the principle payments in each of the first 5 years that make up the remainder of the \$750.00 replacement price. The net present value of this stream of projected cash flows is shown to the right of the series, in this case a negative \$57.17. The negative result indicates that the proposed investment is not economically feasible at the assumed discount rate, and should be rejected under the net present value analysis method. As pointed out in the analysis section at the bottom of Table 1, the proposed purchase price of \$750.00 is too high.

The after tax internal rate of return calculated from the stream of projected cash flows at the bottom of Table 1 is 3.4 percent. This return is lower than the assumed after tax discount rate, so for this decision maker the investment would be rejected. A decision maker who is willing to accept a return of 3.4 percent on equity capital might find this proposed investment acceptable.

The maximum bid price is calculated by plugging in alternative replacement cow prices until the net present value equates to 0. Under assumptions used in this example, a replacement price of \$671 results in a net present value of \$0.00, suggesting that this is the maximum bid price that is projected to be economically feasible.

Financial feasibility is also discussed in the analysis section at the extreme bottom of Table 1. Financial feasibility relates to the ability of

the investment to generate sufficient after tax cash flow on a period-by-period basis to meet debt repayment requirements. The proposed investment shown in Table 1 would require outside sources of funding in years one and four to meet debt repayment requirements. This may rule out the investment for decision makers who find the low level of return to equity capital unacceptable.

Decision rules

The net present value of the cash flow that a breeding animal generates can be used as the measure of its worth and form the basis of replacement decision rules. In general, the net present value of the stock in the herd, and their replacement alternatives, should be ordered by magnitude of their net present values. (This is really a portfolio of alternative investments.) Replacement decisions can then be made by selecting the animals that have the highest net present value.

Risk analysis

Risk (uncertainty) can be introduced into the replacement stock analysis decision in several ways, with discount rate adjustment and sensitivity analysis being two popular approaches. Discount rate adjustment involves increasing the discount rate used in the net present value calculations. This increased discount rate reflects not only the opportunity cost of money that is not received until the future, but also the return for the assumption of risk by the decision maker. Higher expected profits will be required to accept an investment alternative with a higher discount rate, thus forcing the investment to compensate the decision maker for the increased risk. Sensitivity analysis is carried out by calculating net present values not only for the expected outcome of the investment, but also for optimistic and pessimistic outcomes. For example, the scenario illustrated in Table 1 could be recalculated using alternative calf crop or price assumptions in order to determine "best case" or "worst case" outcomes. The alternative investments can then be ranked under each scenario and subjectively weighted by the decision maker.

Reference:

Barry, P.J., J.A. Hopkin and C.B. Baker, Financial Management in Agriculture. Interstate Printers and Publishers, Inc., Danville, IL.

Table 1. Investment Analysis Decision Aid Output.

Bid Price for Beef Cows Including Financing and Tax Implications

COWBIDR 08/15/97

Steer weight (pounds)	500	Cull cow sale weight (pounds)	900	lbs.
Heifer weight (pounds)	480	Marginal income tax rate	28.00	%
Cow price (\$/head)	\$750	Capital gains tax rate	20.00	%
Expected number of calving opportunities	7	Self employment tax rate	15.30	%
		Discount rate	10.00	%

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7
Calf crop or weaning %	100	100	100	0	100	100	100
Steer price (\$/cwt.)	\$84	\$88	\$93	\$102	\$105	\$95	\$88
Heifer price (\$/cwt.)	\$76	\$80	\$85	\$94	\$97	\$87	\$80
Cull cow price (\$/cwt.)	\$46.48	\$48.36	\$50.71	\$54.94	\$56.35	\$51.65	\$48.36
Gross receipts (calf sales)	\$392	\$412	\$437	\$0	\$495	\$446	\$412
Cow operating cost/year	\$260	\$268	\$276	\$284	\$293	\$302	\$311
Net above operating cost	\$132	\$144	\$161	(\$284)	\$202	\$144	\$101

Financial information

Equity requirement (%)	20.00	Equals \$150.00 per head
Length of note (years)	5	
Interest rate (%)	10.00	

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Totals
Interest payment	\$60.00	\$50.17	\$39.36	\$27.47	\$14.39	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$191.39
Principal payment	\$98.28	\$108.11	\$118.92	\$130.81	\$143.89	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$600.01
Debt service requirement	\$158.28	\$158.28	\$158.28	\$158.28	\$158.28	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Depreciation %	10.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	10.00		
Depreciation expense	\$75.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$75.00	\$0.00	
Taxable income	(\$3.00)	(\$56.17)	(\$28.36)	(\$461.47)	\$37.61	\$69.00	\$100.94	
Income taxes	(\$0.84)	(\$15.73)	(\$7.94)	(\$129.21)	\$10.53	\$19.32	\$28.26	
Self employment taxes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5.75	\$10.56	\$15.44	
Cash flow available for debt service	\$132.84	\$159.73	\$168.94	(\$154.79)	\$185.72	\$114.12	\$57.24	
Net cash flow	(\$25.44)	\$1.45	\$10.66	(\$313.07)	\$27.44	\$114.12	\$57.24	
Tax basis in cow	\$675.00	\$525.00	\$375.00	\$225.00	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Cow salvage value	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$435.24	
Salvage value (after tax)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$348.19	
Tax adjusted discount rate	7.20							

Cash flows

Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Net Present Value
(\$150.00)	(\$25.44)	\$1.45	\$10.66	(\$313.07)	\$27.44	\$114.12	\$405.43	(\$57.17)

Comments regarding this investment scenario:

- The negative net present value indicates that the price of \$750 per head is too high.
- This investment has an internal rate of return of 3.4 percent.
- This investment has a payback period of 7 years.
- This investment may not be financially feasible because of negative cash flow in year one.

Produced by Agricultural Communications, The Texas A&M University System

Educational programs of the Texas Agricultural Extension Service are open to all citizens without regard to race, color, sex, disability, religion, age or national origin.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work in Agriculture and Home Economics, Acts of Congress of May 8, 1914, as amended, and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. Texas Agricultural Extension Service, The Texas A&M University System.